

PROMISING NANOBIOTECHNOLOGY TO INCREASE THE SHELF LIFE OF CHICKEN EGGES BASED ON NANOSILVER PREPARATION IN HYBRID CARRIERS



Zheltonozhskaya T.¹, Shevchenko L.², Permyakova N.¹, Dovbnia Y.², Klepko V.¹, Klymchuk D.³

¹ Department of Polymer Physics, Institute of Macromolecular Chemistry of the NAS of Ukraine. 48 Kharkivske Shosse, Kyiv-02160, Ukraine. E-mail: zheltonozhskaya@ukr.net

² Department of Veterinary Hygiene, National University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine, 15 Heroyiv Oborony St., 03041 Kyiv, Ukraine. ³ M.G. Kholodny Institute of Botany of the NAS of Ukraine, 2 Tereshchenkovska St., Kyiv-01601, Ukraine.

A simple and effective nanobiotechnology is proposed, aimed at reducing the endogenous and exogenous contamination of chicken eggs by opportunistic and pathogenic microflora in the processes of their formation and subsequent storage. The key element of this nanobiotechnology is a special preparation of nanosilver, stabilized in biocompatible and biodegradable silica/polyacrylamide hybrid carriers (SiO₂-g-PAAm), which is orally administrated to laying hens with drinking water [1,2]. In this paper, various aspects of obtaining a nanosilver preparation in hybrid solutions and possible changes in its state under the influence of factors such as the pH of the solution, the concentration of nanoparticles, the presence of NaCl as in a "physiological solution", as well as visible light are considered. Then the results of systematic studies of the biological impact of the developed nanosilver preparation on the clinical condition of laying hens, morphological and biochemical parameters of their blood, as well as morphological, microbiological and chemical parameters of chicken eggs are partially represented.



were selected. Three groups of laying hens (n=15) such as Control and Research 1-2 were created. The research groups were additionally drunk 3 times a month with an interval of C_{AgNPs}=0.001 (Research 1) and 0.002 kg/m³ (Research 2), which corresponded to doses of nanosilver 2.10⁻⁷ and 4.10⁻⁷ kg per hen, respectively. The laying hens were fed from drinkers, a temperature in the range of 21-22 °C and a relative humidity of 60-62%. On the 10th, 20th content of various metals in the shell, white and yolk of eggs. The biochemical parameters

ne me	tal content i	n the shell c	of chicken e	eggs The	e met	al content in	the protein of	of chicken eg	gs The	e me	tal content in	າ the yolk of	chicken eggs
	Metal content ·10 ⁶ , kg/kg			D.O.		Metal content ·10 ⁶ , kg/kg				Motal	Metal content ·10 ⁶ , kg/kg		
Metal	Groups of laying hens				Motal	Groups of laying hens					Groups of laying hens		
	Control	Research 1	Research 2		Weta	Control	Research 1	Research 2	Weta	Control	Research 1	Research 2	
	After 10 days				After 10 days					After 10 days			
Ag	0.006 ± 0.002	$\textbf{0.008} \pm \textbf{0.001}$	$\textbf{0.008} \pm \textbf{0.001}$		Ag	0.0006 ± 0.0001	0.0008 ± 0.0001	$\textbf{0.0007} \pm \textbf{0.0001}$		Ag	0.0007 ± 0.0001	0.0040 ± 0.0020	0.0040 ± 0.0040
Cu	0.5 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.1	$\textbf{0.9} \pm \textbf{0.1}$		Cu	$\textbf{0.30} \pm \textbf{0.10}$	$\textbf{0.28} \pm \textbf{0.08}$	$\textbf{0.30} \pm \textbf{0.05}$		Cu	$\textbf{1.4} \pm \textbf{0.1}$	0.9 ± 0.4	$\textbf{1.0} \pm \textbf{0.3}$
Zn	$\textbf{2.0} \pm \textbf{0.2}$	1.5 ± 0.6	$\textbf{2.8} \pm \textbf{0.9}$		Zn	0.0020 ± 0.0010	0.0018 ± 0.0004	0.0020 ± 0.0003		Zn	22 ± 8	20 ± 8	19 ± 5
Fe	$\textbf{0.6} \pm \textbf{0.3}$	0.5 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.2		Fe	0.027 ± 0.004	$\textbf{0.030} \pm \textbf{0.007}$	0.030 ± 0.008		Fe	27 ± 8	35 ± 10	23 ± 10
Pb	$\textbf{0.009} \pm \textbf{0.004}$	$\textbf{0.004} \pm \textbf{0.001}$	$\textbf{0.008} \pm \textbf{0.004}$		Pb	0.033 ± 0.003	0.049 ± 0.004	$\textbf{0.061} \pm \textbf{0.005}$	_	Pb	0.035 ± 0.020	0.053 ± 0.030	$\textbf{0.025} \pm \textbf{0.010}$
	After 20 days					After 20 days			_		After 20 days		
Ag	0.007 ± 0.004	0.063 ± 0.020	0.080 ± 0.006		Ag	0.0006 ± 0.0001	0.0006 ± 0.0003	0.0009 ± 0.0002		Ag	0.0007 ± 0.0001	0.0010 ± 0.0001	$\textbf{0.0040} \pm \textbf{0.0001}$
Cu	2.7 ± 0.9	$\textbf{0.8} \pm \textbf{0.2}$	1.1 ± 0.4	Plasma-optical	Cu	0.15 ± 0.01	$\textbf{0.21} \pm \textbf{0.07}$	0.19 ± 0.02	Plasma-optica	Cu	$\textbf{1.6} \pm \textbf{0.1}$	1.4 ± 0.5	$\textbf{1.2} \pm \textbf{0.5}$
Zn	$\textbf{3.0} \pm \textbf{1.0}$	$\textbf{2.0} \pm \textbf{0.2}$	3.0 ± 2.0	emission	Zn	0.0020 ± 0.0003	0.0017 ± 0.0004	0.0019 ± 0.0001	emission	Zn	29 ± 3	26 ± 10	26 ± 10
Fe	0.5 ± 0.2	0.7 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.2	spectrometry	Fe	$\textbf{0.010} \pm \textbf{0.010}$	$\textbf{0.014} \pm \textbf{0.009}$	0.010 ± 0.002	spectrometry	Fe	45 ± 4	39 ± 20	40 ± 20
Pb	$\textbf{0.024} \pm \textbf{0.009}$	$\textbf{0.007} \pm \textbf{0.001}$	$\textbf{0.010} \pm \textbf{0.002}$		Pb	$\textbf{0.040} \pm \textbf{0.010}$	$\textbf{0.039} \pm \textbf{0.008}$	0.036 ± 0.003		Pb	0.013 ± 0.004	0.020 ± 0.020	$\textbf{0.017} \pm \textbf{0.003}$
	After 30 days				After 30 days					After 30 days			
Ag	$\textbf{0.006} \pm \textbf{0.006}$	$\textbf{0.038} \pm \textbf{0.007}$	$\textbf{0.060} \pm \textbf{0.020}$		Ag	0.0005 ± 0.0001	0.0011 ± 0.0001	$\textbf{0.0011} \pm \textbf{0.0001}$		Ag	0.0006 ± 0.0001	0.0011 ± 0.0002	0.0013 ± 0.0001
Cu	0.7 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.2		Cu	$\textbf{0.30} \pm \textbf{0.10}$	$\textbf{0.30} \pm \textbf{0.10}$	0.20 ± 0.07		Cu	1.4 ± 0.4	1.6 ± 0.3	1.2 ± 0.5
Zn	$\textbf{2.3} \pm \textbf{0.5}$	$\textbf{1.1} \pm \textbf{0.3}$	2.5 ± 0.9		Zn	0.0020 ± 0.0001	0.0017 ± 0.0004	$\textbf{0.0021} \pm \textbf{0.0002}$		Zn	26 ± 10	28 ± 5	17 ± 6
Fe	$\textbf{0.2} \pm \textbf{0.1}$	$\textbf{0.17} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	$\textbf{0.1} \pm \textbf{0.1}$		Fe	$\textbf{0.025} \pm \textbf{0.002}$	0.030 ± 0.003	$\textbf{0.030} \pm \textbf{0.010}$		Fe	38 ± 20	41 ± 10	29 ± 10
Pb	$\textbf{0.006} \pm \textbf{0.002}$	$\textbf{0.005} \pm \textbf{0.001}$	$\textbf{0.006} \pm \textbf{0.001}$		Pb	$\textbf{0.088} \pm \textbf{0.008}$	$\textbf{0.083} \pm \textbf{0.004}$	0.093 ± 0.003		Pb	0.029 ± 0.010	0.047 ± 0.009	$\textbf{0.030} \pm \textbf{0.002}$

T

Conclusions

	Value								
Daramators	Groups of laying hens								
Parameters	Control	Research 1	Research 2						
	After 30 days								
Total protein, kg/m ³	44 ± 3	46 ± 4	59 ± 3						
Albumin, kg/m ³	7.2 ± 0.5	7.1 ± 0.4	6.8 ± 0.6						
Cholesterol, kg/m ³	1.9 ± 0.3	2.2 ± 0.9	1.3 ± 0.6						
Creatinine, mol/m ³	0.070 ± 0.006	0.076 ± 0.003	$\textbf{0.064} \pm \textbf{0.004}$						
Glucose, mol/m ³	9.9 ± 1.0	11.9 ± 0.5	8.7 ± 0.7						
ALT·10 ³ , U/m ³	12 ± 2	14 ± 1	11 ± 1						
AST·10 ³ , U/m ³	130 ± 20	109 ± 8	140 ± 10						
ALP·10 ³ , U/m ³	190 ± 20	205 ± 5	190 ± 20						
GGT·10 ³ , U/m ³	5.8 ± 0.9	6.5 ± 0.5	3.7 ± 0.5						
Ca, mol/m ³	2.8 ± 0.5	3.2 ± 0.4	3.0 ± 0.2						
P, mol/m ³	$\textbf{1.8} \pm \textbf{0.5}$	$\textbf{1.2} \pm \textbf{0.1}$	2.3 ± 0.3						
K, mol/m ³	4.4 ± 0.3	5.2 ± 0.2	4.3 ± 0.4						
Mg, mol/m ³	1.0 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.2						



2. The developed preparation was resistant to dilution, to the addition of NaCL, as in "physiological solution", to pH=9, as in the intestines of a living organism, but showed a gradual dissolution of AgNPs at pH=2. However, the dissolution rate was relatively low, indicating that the preparation could successfully overcome the stomach of laying hens without significant dissolution of AgNPs. The silver preparation was highly stable over time in the absence of light and in the presence of light (in the latter case, at a relatively low concentration of AgNPs).

3. During the biological experiment, the safety of chickens in all groups was 100%, and their egg productivity did not differ between groups. Three times feeding of laying hens with a solution of the drug in both doses did not affect the morphological parameters of chicken eggs: the mass of the eggs themselves and their individual components (proteins, yolks and shells). At the same time, a striking effect of the selective accumulation of nanosilver in the eggshell was found in both research groups after 20 days of the experiment.

4. The results indicate the absence of a toxic effect in the organisms of laying hens after 3 oral administration of the preparation at doses of 2.10⁻⁷ and 4.10⁻⁷ kg/hen, which is obviously a manifestation of their high adaptive ability in relation to this drug.

[1] L.V. Shevchenko, Y.Y. Dovbnia, T.B. Zheltonozhskaya, N.M. Permyakova, L.M. Vygovska L.M., Ushkalov V.O. Influence of nanosilver preparation in carriers based on polymer/inorganic hybrids on the quality and safety of chicken eggs. Regul. Mech. Biosyst. 2021, 12 (3), 391-395. DOI: 10.15421/022153.

[2] L.V, Shevchenko, Y.Y. Dovbnia, N.M. Permyakova, T.B. Zheltonozhskaya, S.V. Shulyak, D.O. Klymchuk. Influence of nanosilver in hybrid carriers on morphological and biochemical blood parameters of laying hens. Regul. Mech. Biosyst. 2022, 13 (1), 15-22. DOI:10.15421/022203.

université

angers

OPEN TO THE WORL \triangleleft

