

# Ab initio study of the effect of metal doping on electronic properties of (ZnO)<sub>n</sub> (n= 96, 120) nanoclusters

<u>R.V. Bovhyra<sup>1</sup>, bovhyra@gmail.com</u>, D.I. Popovych<sup>1</sup>, <u>popovych@iapmm.lviv.ua</u>, O.V. Bovgyra<sup>2</sup>, <u>bovgyra@gmail.com</u>.

1 Pidstryhach Institute for Applied Problems of Mechanics and Mathematics NAS Ukraine, Naukova 3-B, Lviv, Ukraine



2 Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, Faculty of Physics, Kyrylo and Mefodiy 8, 79005-Lviv, Ukraine

### Introduction

Zinc oxide (ZnO) is a wide-gap semiconductor with a plethora of useful and interesting properties, like: direct and wide band gap, high exciton binding energy (60 meV) at room temperature, amphoteric chemical properties, non-toxicity, large piezoelectric constants (Dai, Dunn and Park 2010), transparency in the visible region of the spectrum, sensitivity of surface conductivity to the presence of absorbed species, biocompatibility, photoconductive, photoluminescent, gas sensing properties, considerable resistance to weathering and irradiation, which makes it a promising material to use in a variety of micro-, nano-, optoelectronic devices. Zinc oxide has one of the most diverse family of nanostructures, including nanotubes, nanoparticles, nanobelts, nanowires, nanorings, nanoribbons, nanoclusters etc. These nanostructures are often candidates for creating UV emitters and switches, solar cells, creating ultrathin displays etc. From this cornucopia of nanostructures, fullerene-like nanoclusters deserve special attention due to their unique set of characteristics that get them used in a variety of applications, including but not limited to photocatalysis, hydrogen storage, new generation gas sensors. Our previous studies describe structural and electronic properties of (ZnO)<sub>12</sub> nanoclusters as well as "magic" nanoclusters (ZnO)<sub>n</sub>, n=(34, 60, 96, 120) [1, 2, 3]. This study presents the results of theoretical calculations of the structure and electronic properties of metal doped (Co, Cu, Ni) nanoclusters (ZnO)<sub>n</sub> (n = 96, 120) within the density functional theory, using the generalized gradient approximation (GGA + U) with Hubbard corrections.

# **Methods of calculation**

Ab initio calculations within density functional were performed, which have been successfully used for studying properties of nanoscale structures such as nanotubes and nanowires. For structural models, the optimization (relaxation) of the geometry (finding the equilibrium of ions coordinates, in which the full electronic energy of the system is minimal) was carried. Optimization was calculated using the effective algorithm of delocalized internal coordinates. The convergence of the relaxation procedures deemed reached when the magnitude of forces acting on atoms was less than 0.05 eV/Å.

For describing the exchange-correlation energy of the electronic subsystem, the generalized gradient approximation with Hubbard corrections (GGA)+U in a parameterization of Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof was used. Unfortunately, for strongly correlated materials including ZnO, standard DFT with GGA (PBE) functional will underestimate the bandgap. To accurately describe the electronic spectrum, two Hubbard corrections were selected the studied objects: for d-orbitals Zn (Ud) and p-orbitals O (Up). Electronic functions of electrons were divided in the basis of atomic orbitals, including d-orbitals. Core electrons had been described using effective potential with regard to relativistic corrections. Integration in the first Brillouin zone was conducted in the Monkhorst-Pack k-point set.

#### Results

The first task in this research, was figuring out the best placement position for the doping atoms. We considered two main configurations of the placement of Co, Cu and Ni atoms on the surface of the optimized  $(ZnO)_{96}$  and  $(ZnO)_{120}$  nanoclusters (Fig. 1): zinc atoms were substituted by Co, Cu and Ni atoms, oxygen atoms were substituted by Co, Cu and Ni. The formation energy for the metal doped nanocluster in these configurations was calculated using the following formula:

$$E_{f} = E_{NA} + E_{X} - E_{N} - E_{A},$$

Where  $E_{NA}$  and  $E_N$  represent the total energies of the M-doped (M = Co, Cu, Ni) and undoped (ZnO)<sub>n</sub> (n = 96, 120) nanocluster, respectively,  $E_X$  and  $E_A$  are total energies of free Zn or O and M = Co, Cu, Ni atoms, respectively. The optimized free O, Zn and M = Co, Cu, Ni atoms energies were calculated in the same unit cell as (ZnO)<sub>n</sub> (n = 96, 120)



is corresponds to the endothermic process. Because of that, for our study the most favorable placement position for our doping atoms is configuration when a metal atom M (M=Co, Cu, Al) replaces the Zn atom.

**Table 1** Band gap ( $E_g$  (eV)) and formation energy ( $E_f$  (eV)) of pristine and metal doped (ZnO)<sub>96</sub> and (ZnO)<sub>120</sub> nanoclusters

| Isom    | (ZnO) <sub>96</sub> | $(ZnO)_{96}$ | (ZnO) <sub>96</sub> + | $(ZnO)_{96}+$ | (ZnO) <sub>96</sub> + | $(ZnO)_{96}+$ | $(ZnO)_{96}$ | $(ZnO)_{120}$ | (ZnO) <sub>96</sub> + | (ZnO) <sub>120</sub> | (ZnO) <sub>96</sub> + | (ZnO) <sub>120</sub> | (ZnO) <sub>120</sub> | $(ZnO)_{120}$ | $(ZnO)_{120} +$ | $(ZnO)_{120}$ | $(ZnO)_{120} +$ | $(ZnO)_{120}$ |
|---------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| er      | +Co(Zn)             | +Co(O)       | 2Co(Zn)               | 2Cu(O)        | 3Co(Zn)               | 3Co(O)        | +Cu(Zn)      | +Cu(O)        | 2Cu(Zn)               | +2Cu(O)              | 3Cu(Zn)               | +3Cu(O)              | $+N_1(Zn)$           | +N1(O)        | 2N1(Zn)         | +2N1(O)       | 3N1(Zn)         | +3N1(O)       |
| $E_g$ , | 2,65                | 3,14         | 2,44                  | 3,31          | 2,17                  | 3,67          | 2,46         | 2,75          | 2,29                  | 2,88                 | 2,17                  | 3,13                 | 2,87                 | 3,15          | 2,56            | 3,42          | 2,26            | 3,74          |

| eV  |       |      |       |      |       |      |       |      |       |      |       |      |       |      |       |      |       |      |
|---|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|
| $egin{array}{c} E_{f,} \ { m eV} \end{array}$ | -0,35 | 1,73 | -0,84 | 4,12 | -1,36 | 6,48 | -0,57 | 2,21 | -1,23 | 4,53 | -1,94 | 7,12 | -0,43 | 1,93 | -0,92 | 4,11 | -1,49 | 6,52 |

## Conclusions

Using Density functional theory with the GGA+U method, we carried out a study of the structural and electronic properties of metal doped (Co, Cu, Ni)  $(ZnO)_{96}$  and  $(ZnO)_{120}$  nanoclusters. Optimization of structure geometry, as well as the band structure research, was performed. In our study we showed that the most energetically favorable position for the surface doping of the nanocluster is the replacement of the zinc atom with the doping atom. This type of placement causes the reduction of the energy gap of the metal doped nanoclusters and indicates that the doping improves the conductivity of the nanoclusters.

## References

1. Bovgyra OV, Bovgyra RV, Kovalenko MV, Popovych DI, Serednytski AS (2013) The density functional theory study of structural and electronical properties of ZnO clusters. J Nano-Electron Phys 5(1):01027.

2. Bovhyra R.V., Popovych D.I, Bovgyra O.V., Serednytski A.S. (2017) Ab Initio Study of Structural and Electronic Properties of (ZnO)<sub>n</sub> "Magical" Nanoclusters n = (34, 60). Nanoscale Research Letters 12:76(5).

3. Kovalenko, M., Bovgyra, O., Dzikovskyi, V. et al. (2020) A DFT study for adsorption of CO and H2 on Pt-doped ZnO nanocluster. SN Appl. Sci. 2, 790.

