Electron-hole Coulomb interaction and quantum states of the two-dimensional magnetoexcitons

Moskalenko S. A. Institute of Applied Physics

Zubac I. ionzubac33@gmail.com. Institute of Applied Physics, The State University "Dimitrie Cantemir"

Fig.1. Valley-orbit coupled exciton X_0. Valley pseudospin up and down configurations of X_0. *(Hongyi, Y., Gui-Bin, L., Pu, G., Xiaodong, X. and Wang, Y., Nature Commun. 2014, 5, 3876).*

Fig.2. The band structure of GaAs crystal.

The band structure of the dichalcogenide monolayers was described in [1]. This type of monolayers happens to be direct band gap semiconductors with minimal direct band gaps in the corner points K and -K of the hexagonal Brillouin zone. There are two valleys K and -K, where the valence electrons effectuate the direct optical quantum transitions in the conduction bands conserving their spin projections. Due to the symmetry of the Hamiltonian as regards the time inversion in the structures without center of inversion, the Kramers theorem establishes that the energy of electron with spin up in the valley K equals to the energy of the electron with spin down in the valley –K. The direct optical quantum transitions take place with the participation of the photons with different circular polarizations. The bare Wannier-Mott excitons appearing in K and -K valleys due to the direct Coulomb electron-hole interactions have the same energies of their binding and creation. Two degenerate valley exciton states can be characterized by the valley pseudospin projections.

The band structure of the GaAs quantum wells (QWs) in the absence of the external perpendicular magnetic field is represented in the Fig.2. The conduction electrons have the spin projections $s_z^e = \pm 1/2$ and the heavy holes have the full angular momentum projections $j_z^h = \pm 3/2$. The total angular momentum projection of the e-h pair $F = s_z^e + j_z^h$ is a quantum number characterizing the states of the e-h pairs and of the excitons. It has four possible values $F = \pm 1, \pm 2$. Two exciton states with $F = \pm 1$ are represented in the Fig.2. They can emit photons with different circular polarizations.

One of them has the Dirac cone dispersion law, whereas the another state has a Kirgiz hat-type dispersion law with minimum energy on the circle formed by the in-plane wave vectors. In the case of the 2D magnetoexcitons the superposition states are described by the next formulas

Fig.3. The electric-dipole model of the 2D magnetoexciton with the wave vector $\vec{k}$ and with the arm of the electric dipole moment $\vec{d}$

Fig.4. The electron-hole Coulomb scattering processes: a) in two-band representation ; b) in elec tron-hole description.

The strong perpendicular magnetic field leads to the Landau quantization of the orbital motions and to formation of discrete energy levels of electrons and holes separately. Under the influence of the Lorentz force the magnetoexciton with in-plane wave vector looks as an electric dipole as is shown in Fig.3. *(Moskalenko, S. A., Podlesny, I. V., Zubac, I. A. and Novikov, B. V., Solid State Commun. 2020, 312, 113714).*

The binding energy and the ionization potential are determined by the direct Coulomb *e-h* interaction. The arm of the dipole is proportional to the center-of-mass wave vector but perpendicular to it. In spite of the changement of the orbital structure of the magnetoexciton as compared to the Wannier-Mott exciton with hydrogen atom-type structure, the spin structure of the magnetoexciton remains the same as in the absence of the magnetic field up till the Rashba spin-orbit coupling is not taken into account.

$$E_{ex}^{+}(\vec{k}_{\parallel}) = E_{ex}^{0}(\vec{k}_{\parallel}) + \varepsilon_0 + I_l \sqrt{2/\pi} \, | \frac{\rho_{c-v}}{l_0} |^2 \, e^{-\frac{|\vec{k}_{\parallel}|^2 l_0^2}{2}} \, | \vec{k}_{\parallel} \, l_0.$$

$$E_{ex}^{-}(\vec{k}_{\parallel}) = E_{ex}^{0}(\vec{k}_{\parallel}) + \varepsilon_0; \quad \varepsilon_0 = -\frac{1}{2} I_l \, | \frac{\rho_{c-v}}{l_0} |^2; \quad l_0^2 = \frac{\hbar c}{eB},$$

$$E_{ex}^{0}(\vec{k}_{\parallel}) = -I_l \cdot e^{-\frac{|\vec{k}_{\parallel}|^2 l_0^2}{4}} \, I_0(\frac{|\vec{k}_{\parallel}|^2 l_0^2}{4}); \quad I_l = \frac{e^2}{\varepsilon_0 l_0} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}};$$

As one can see looking at the Fig.6, the photons are propagating in any arbitrary directions of the 3D space, being characterized by the circular polarizations $\vec{\sigma}_{\vec{k}}^{\pm}$. The 2D magnetoexcitons are located on the 2D plane of the layer. They are characterized by the quantum numbers $F = \pm 1$ what is equivalent to the circular polarization $\vec{\sigma}_M = (1/\sqrt{2})(\vec{a}_1 \pm i\vec{a}_2)$ with $F = M = \pm 1$ The selection rules of the quantum transitions from the ground state of the crystal to the superposition states depend essentially on the quantum numbers of the electron and of the hole Landau quantization levels. In the lowest Landau levels approximation, when only the lowest numbers are taken into account, the geometric selection rules depend on the scalar products in their different combinations. It was shown that the both superposition states are dipole active in the both circular polarizations.

Fig.6. The circular polarizations of the photons and of the 2D excitons. The geometric selection rules are determined by the factors $|(\vec{\sigma}_{\vec{k}}^{\pm} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_M^{*})|^2$

Fig.5. The branches of 2D bright magnetoexciton in two superposition states with and without Dirac cone dispersion law.

The bare magnetoexciton states are determined by the quantum numbers $F = \pm 1$ in the way $|\Psi_{ex}(-1;\vec{k}_{\parallel})\rangle$ and $|\Psi_{ex}(1;\vec{k}_{\parallel})\rangle$ whereas the superposition states are denoted as $|\Psi_0^{\pm}\rangle$ One can see looking to the Fig.5 that the symmetric superposition state acquires a linear dispersion law in the range of the in-plane wave vectors where is the magnetic length. (*Moskalenko, S. A., Podlesny, I. V., Zubac, I. A. and Novikov, B. V., Solid State Commun. 2020, 312, 113714).* The asymmetric superposition state remains with the same dispersion law as the bare magnetoexciton states.

Fig.7. The selection rules of the quantum transitions in both circular polarizations from the ground state of the crystal to the superposition magnetoexciton states.

Fig.8. The selection rules of the quantum transitions in two linear polarizations.

The probability of the quantum transitions depend on the projections of the linear polarization vector $\vec{s}_k$ and $\vec{t}_k$ on the plane of the layer, especially whether they are longitudinal or transverse as regards the exciton in-plane wave vector $\vec{k}_{\parallel}$. The symmetric superposition state is dipole active in the linear polarization with longitudinal projection and is forbidden in the linear light polarization with transverse projection. As in the case of the circular polarizations the probability of quantum transition is proportional to $k_z^2/|k|^2$ The asymmetric superposition state is dipole active in the linear polarization with transverse projection, is forbidden in the polarization and does not depend on the light orientation. The dependence of the probabilities of the quantum transitions in the exciton states of the TMDCs monolayers under the influence of the linearly polarized light also depend on the projections of the polarization vectors on the surface of the monolayers, as in the case of the 2D magnetoexcitons.

But in the case of symmetric state the probability of the quantum transition depends on the direction of the light propagation as regards the semiconductor layer *(Moskalenko, S. A., Podlesny, I. V., Zubac, I. A. and Novikov, B. V., Solid State Commun. 2020, 312, 113714).* It has the dependence proportional to where and is the unit vector oriented perpendicularly to the layer surface. It is maximal in the Faraday geometry with light wave vector perpendicular to the surface of the layer, and vanishes in the Voigt geometry with the light propagation along to layer surface. Such dependence on the light wave vector projection does not mean the appearance of the quadrupole quantum transition. It would be characterized by the quadratic dependence on the magnetoexciton in-plane wave vector and would be looking as In the case of the asymmetric superposition state the probability of the quantum transition does not depend at all on the direction of the light propagation. These results are demonstrated in the Fig.7.